United States District Court Southern District of Texas

ENTERED

May 13, 2025
Nathan Ochsner, Clerk

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS CORPUS CHRISTI DIVISION

REX ZILLER,	§
	§
Plaintiff,	§
	§
VS.	§ CIVIL ACTION NO. 2:24-CV-00187
	§
LELAND DUDEK,	§
	§
Defendant.	§

ORDER ADOPTING MEMORANDUM AND RECOMMENDATION OF UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

On April 8, 2025, United States Magistrate Judge Mitchel Neurock issued his "Memorandum and Recommendation of United States Magistrate Judge" (D.E. 11). The parties were provided proper notice of, and opportunity to object to, the Magistrate Judge's memorandum and recommendation. Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b); 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); General Order No. 2002-13. No objections have been timely filed.

When no timely objection to a magistrate judge's memorandum and recommendation is filed, the district court need only satisfy itself that there is no clear error on the face of the record and accept the magistrate judge's memorandum and recommendation. *Guillory v. PPG Indus., Inc.*, 434 F.3d 303, 308 (5th Cir. 2005) (citing *Douglass v. United Servs. Auto Ass'n*, 79 F.3d 1415, 1420 (5th Cir. 1996)).

Having reviewed the findings of fact and conclusions of law set forth in the Magistrate Judge's Memorandum and Recommendation (D.E. 11), and all other relevant documents in the record, and finding no clear error, the Court **ADOPTS** as its own the

findings and conclusions of the Magistrate Judge. Accordingly, the Commissioner's decision is **REVERSED** and **REMANDED** for further consideration.

ORDERED on May 13, 2025.

NELVA GONZALES RAMOS UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE